



AWS container services common problems and solutions

LVEE 2017

JUNE 1, 2017

General background



AWS (Amazon Web Services - Cloud Computing Services) have several container-based services. These services are very-good connected in AWS infrastructure.

Reliable tested list of container services in AWS

- EC2 CS (Container service of AWS)
- Lambda (Service of micro-computation in AWS)
- CodeBuild (Service of CI in AWS)

Details of service implementation

1 It is docker-based





- 2 Docker runs on Amazon Linux VM
- 3 Container properties can be heavy customized
- 4 Containers can be built outside AWS (except lambda)

EC2 CS

Advantages

- Very heavily customized
- Organized in "services" count of HA running containers
- Internal support for HA (Application ELB)

Disadvantages

- Require hard limitations for Memory, CPU, etc.
- It have generic problems running on the same docker host (e.g. ports)
- It can't distribute evenly containers by data-centers (availability zones)
- Require reserved space for service restart (especially for cloud-formation)



Lambda

Advantages

- Easy to create and run
- Very low cost of mini-operations
- Runs in HA environment (on different AZ)

(<u>)</u>

Disadvantages

- Due to little memory only minimal computation is allowed
- Does not support inbound TCP or UDP
- Debugging is terrible due to log location (CloudWatch)
- Binary distributions running is complicated
- SSH access from service possible but not easy

Code Build

Advantages

- Build outside any AWS service
- Designed as "Black Box" with inputs and outputs on S3
- Supports customized container for build, can do almost anything
- Supports git (e.g. github) input

Disadvantages

- Without customized container almost useless
- Debugging is terrible due to log location (CloudWatch)
- Inputs/outputs (e.g. git) very limited
- Process of build continues even on error after overall exec reporting it
- Takes a lot of time because of input/output/container start



Summary

- 1. AWS services is based on Open Source Linux and Docker
- 2. These services is very limited comparing to the Open Source
- 3. By integration inside AWS service infrastructure standard usage is easy
- 4. Non-default usage is either very difficult or limited
- 5. At the moment none of this services can replace non-containerized ones